arXiv:1211.0681v1 [nlin.SI] 4 Nov 2012

VECTOR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS ON QUADRICS POSSESSING INTEGRABLE THIRD-ORDER SYMMETRIES

A.G. MESHKOV AND V.V. SOKOLOV

Abstract. The complete lists of vector hyperbolic equations on the sphere and on the cone that have integrable third order x- and y-symmetries are presented. Integrals and Bäcklund transformations for some of these equations are found. We also find all integrable vector evolution equations of the third order on the cone.

1. Introduction

The symmetry approach to classification of integrable PDEs (see, for instance, [1–4]) is based on the existence of higher infinitesimal symmetries. It is very efficient for evolution PDEs with one spatial variable. In particular, all integrable equations of the form

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + \Phi(u, u_x, u_{xx}), \tag{1.1}$$

were listed in [5, 6] (see [7] for details and proofs). For hyperbolic equations

$$u_{xy} = \Psi(u, u_x, u_y) \tag{1.2}$$

the symmetry approach assumes the existence of at least one x-symmetry of the form

$$u_t = A(u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots,), \tag{1.3}$$

and at least one y-symmetry

$$u_\tau = B(u, u_y, u_{yy}, \dots,). \tag{1.4}$$

For example, the famous integrable sin-Gordon equation

$$u_{xy} = \sin u$$

admits the following symmetries

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + \frac{1}{2}u_x^3, \qquad u_\tau = u_{yyy} + \frac{1}{2}u_y^3.$$

These equations are integrable themselves and can be found in the list of integrable equations of form (1.1).

Key words and phrases. higher symmetry, exact integrability, hyperbolic equation.

MSC: 37K10, 37K35, 35Q53, 35Q58.

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No 11-01-00341-a), and by the Program for Supporting Leading Scientific Schools (Grant No NSh-6501.2010.2).

For the general classification problem, all the functions Ψ, A, B in (1.2)–(1.4) are unknown. They have to be found from compatibility conditions for (1.2), (1.3) and (1.2), (1.4). Such complete classification of integrable equations (1.2) turns out to be an extremely difficult problem. This problem is still unsolved although some partial results were obtained in [8–10]. However if we fix somehow functions A and B, then it is not difficult to verify whether or not the corresponding function Ψ exists. In particular, to find all integrable equations of the sin-Gordon type, one can assume [11] that both symmetries (1.3) and (1.4) are *integrable* equations of the form (1.1).

Our goal is to apply this idea to find interesting integrable vector hyperbolic equations of the form

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = h_0\boldsymbol{u} + h_1\boldsymbol{u}_x + h_2\boldsymbol{u}_y \tag{1.5}$$

on the sphere and on the cone. Here $\boldsymbol{u}$ is an N-dimensional (or even infinite-dimensional) vector and h_i are some special scalar-valued functions. Namely these functions depend on two different scalar products $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ between vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_x$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_y$. The sphere and the cone are defined by $\boldsymbol{u}^2 = 1$ and $\boldsymbol{u}^2 = 0$, respectively. Here and in the sequel for any vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ we denote $\boldsymbol{a}^2 = (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a})$ and $|\boldsymbol{a}| = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}^2}$.

Consider equations on the sphere. The constraint $\boldsymbol{u}^2 = 1$ imples $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_x) = (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_y) = 0$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_{xy}) = -(\boldsymbol{u}_x, \boldsymbol{u}_y)$. From these identities it follows that equation (1.5) has the form

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = h_1\boldsymbol{u}_x + h_2\boldsymbol{u}_y - (\boldsymbol{u}_x, \boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}. \tag{1.6}$$

Equation (1.6) is called *isotropic* if the coefficients involves the scalar product $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ only. In this case the h_i are functions in three scalar variables $(\boldsymbol{u}_x, \boldsymbol{u}_x), (\boldsymbol{u}_x, \boldsymbol{u}_y), (\boldsymbol{u}_y, \boldsymbol{u}_y)$. It is clear that isotropic models are invariant with respect to the group $SO(N)$.

Otherwise the equation is called *anisotropic*. In the anisotropic case the functions h_i may depend on six additional scalar variables $\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle$, $\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle, \ldots,$ $\langle\boldsymbol{u}_x, \boldsymbol{u}_y\rangle$. All scalar products will be considered as *independent variables* (cf. [12]). As a result all our models are integrable for any dimension N.

Integrable isotropic and anisotropic vector evolution equations of the form

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} + f_2\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + f_1\boldsymbol{u}_x + f_0\boldsymbol{u} \tag{1.7}$$

on the sphere were studied in [12,13]. By integrability of such equations we mean the existence of infinite series of commuting flows

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\tau_k} = g_k\,\boldsymbol{u}_k + g_{k-1}\,\boldsymbol{u}_{k-1} + \cdots + g_1\,\boldsymbol{u}_x + g_0\,\boldsymbol{u}, \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_i = \frac{\partial^i \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial x^i},$$

whose coefficients g_i depend on all possible scalar products between $\boldsymbol{u}, ..., \boldsymbol{u}_k$.

The symmetry approach for vector evolution equations was developed in [12]. In particular, this paper contains necessary integrability conditions for equations of the form (1.7). It is remarkable that these conditions are very similar to the integrability conditions (see [1,19]) for scalar equations (1.1).

In [12] we have presented a complete list of isotropic equations of form (1.7) on the sphere. Moreover, some examples of integrable anisotropic equations were found there. One of these examples is the following equation [14]:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \Big(\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\ \boldsymbol{u}_x)\boldsymbol{u}\Big)_x + \frac{3}{2}\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle \boldsymbol{u}_x, \qquad \boldsymbol{u}^2 = 1. \tag{1.8}$$

Here $\langle \boldsymbol{a}\,, \boldsymbol{b}\rangle = (\boldsymbol{a},\ R\boldsymbol{b})$, where R is an arbitrary constant symmetric matrix. One can assume that $R = \mathrm{diag}(r_1, \ldots, r_N)$. Equation (1.8) has a Lax pair whose spectral parameter lies on an algebraic curve of genus $1 + (N-3)2^{N-2}$. If $N = 3$, then (1.8) is a commuting flow for the famous Landau-Lifshitz equation.

A complete list of anisotropic integrable equations of the form (1.7) on the sphere was presented in [13]. Equations without any constraints on the length of the vector $\boldsymbol{u}$ were investigated in [15–17]. Neither evolutionary nor hyperbolic integrable equations on the cone have never been considered.

In Section 2 we find all hyperbolic equations (1.5) that have symmetries from the lists of the papers [12,13].

Section 3 is devoted to integrable vector equations on the cone $\boldsymbol{u}^2 = 0$. In Proposition 2 we describe all non-triangular integrable vector evolution equations of the form (1.7) on the cone. We also find hyperbolic equations (1.5) that have both x and y integrable symmetries of the third order from the list of Proposition 2.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to V. Adler, and R. Yamilov for useful discussions. The research was partially supported by the RFBR grant 11-01-00341-a.

2. Integrable hyperbolic equations on the sphere

Two equations (1.5) on the sphere $\boldsymbol{u}^2 = 1$ are said to be equivalent if they are related by a composition of the following point transformations:

1. The scaling transformations of the form:

$$x' = \alpha x, \qquad y' = \beta y; \tag{2.1}$$

2. The interchanging $y \leftrightarrow x$;
3. The transformations of the second scalar product

$$\langle u, v\rangle \to \alpha \langle u, v\rangle + \beta (u, v), \tag{2.2}$$

where α and β are arbitrary constants. In general the constants in the equivalence transformations may be complex.

Proposition 1. If equation (1.6) has integrable symmetries of the form

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} + f_2\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + f_1\boldsymbol{u}_x + f_0\boldsymbol{u} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\tau = \boldsymbol{u}_{yyy} + g_2\boldsymbol{u}_{yy} + g_1\boldsymbol{u}_y + g_0\boldsymbol{u} \tag{2.4}$$

then it is equivalent to one of the following equations:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{u}_y^2}\sqrt{1+|\boldsymbol{u}_x|^{-2}}\;\boldsymbol{u}_x - (\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_x}{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle}\Big(\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_y\rangle + \sqrt{1+\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle|\boldsymbol{u}_x|^{-2}}\;\varphi\Big) - (\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_y\rangle^2 + \langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle(1-\langle\boldsymbol{u}_y,\boldsymbol{u}_y\rangle)},$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\;\boldsymbol{u}_y - (\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \frac{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_y\rangle}{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle}\boldsymbol{u}_x + \sqrt{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle-\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\;\boldsymbol{u}_y - (\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \frac{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle + \sqrt{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle^2 + \langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle\big(1-\langle\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle\big)}}{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle}\boldsymbol{u}_y - (\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \frac{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_y\rangle}{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle}\boldsymbol{u}_x + \frac{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle+\psi}{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle}\boldsymbol{u}_y - (\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\psi = \sqrt{\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle^2 + \langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle^2 - \langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle}\,.$$

For the sake of brevity we do not present here the symmetries for equations (2.5)–(2.10). These symmetries can be easily calculated with the help of special computer software (see for example [20]). Another way is to try one by one the evolution equations from [12, 13] as symmetries.

Remark 1. Any anisotropic equation admits the reduction $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle \to \gamma(\,\cdot\,,\cdot)$ (isotropic limit). Equation (2.6) is reduced to (2.5) and the remaining anisotropic equations shrink into (2.7).

It is interesting to note that symmetries for some anisotropic equations are isotropic. In particular, equation (2.9) has the following isotropic y-symmetry

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\tau = \boldsymbol{u}_{yyy} - 3\,\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_y,\boldsymbol{u}_{yy})}{\boldsymbol{u}_y^2}\,\boldsymbol{u}_{yy} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{yy}^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_y^2}\,\boldsymbol{u}_y$$

(the vector Schwartz–KdV equation [18]).

2.1. **One-component reductions.** All equations (2.5)–(2.10) are vector generalizations of different special cases for known scalar integrable equation [10, 21]

$$u_{xy} = P(u)\sqrt{u_x^2+1}\sqrt{u_y^2+a}. \tag{2.11}$$

Here P is any solution of differential equation $P'^2 = \lambda_1 P^4 + \lambda_2 P^2 + \lambda_3$, where λ_i are arbitrary constants. The generic solution of this PDE is the elliptic Jacobi sine.

Indeed, consider the case $N = 2$. Let the scalar products be $\boldsymbol{u}^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = au_1^2 + bu_2^2,\ a \neq b$. Using the trigonometric parametrization $\boldsymbol{u} = \{\cos w, \sin w\}$ of S^1, one can rewrite equations (2.5)–(2.10) in terms of the scalar variable w.

This scalar reduction for (2.5) is the equation $w_{xy} = \sqrt{1 - w_y^2}\sqrt{1 + w_x^2}$ equivalent to (2.11) with $P = 1$. The reduction of equation (2.7) is given by $w_{xy} = w_y\sqrt{1 - w_x^2}$.

The scalar reductions for the anisotropic equations (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) can be brought to the form (2.11) by the following point transformation

$$v = \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{\alpha \cos 2w + \beta}} = \int \frac{df}{\sqrt{(1 + f^2)(a + bf^2)}}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $a = \alpha + \beta,\ b = \beta - \alpha$ and $f = \tan w$.

The reductions for equations (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) are given by

$$v_{xy} = \frac{1}{w'(v)}\sqrt{1 + v_x^2}\sqrt{1 - abv_y^2}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$v_{xy} = v_y w'(v)\sqrt{1 - v_x^2}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$v_{xy} = \frac{v_x}{w'(v)}\sqrt{1 - abv_y^2}, \tag{2.15}$$

respectively. Equations (2.14) and (2.15) can be reduced to (2.11), where $a = 0$. For equation (2.10) we have

$$v_{xy} = v_y\sqrt{1 - abv_x^2}\,, \quad \text{where} \quad w'(v) = \alpha \cos 2w + \beta. \tag{2.16}$$

Note that (2.13) is the generic equation of the form (2.11) and therefore (2.6) seems to be the most interesting integrable model found in Proposition 1.

2.2. **Two types of integrability.**

It is known that there exist two different classes of integrable equations (1.2): the Liouville and the sin-Gordon type equations. Equations of the first class (also named as Darboux integrable equations) have so-called x and y-integrals. A function I depending on $u, u_y, u_{yy}, \ldots,\ u_y^{(n)}$ is said to be an n-th order x-integral of hyperbolic equation (1.2) if the identity

$$\frac{d}{dx}\, I = 0$$

is valid for any solution $u(x, y)$ of (1.2). For example, the function $I = u_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}u_y^2$ is an x-integral for the celebrated Liouville equation $u_{xy} = \exp(u)$. The sin-Gordon type equations are integrable by the inverse scattering method (see for example [22]). They have no local x- or y-integrals.

For vector equations of the form (1.5) the x–integrals are functions I depending on several scalar products of vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_y, \boldsymbol{u}_{yy}, \ldots$. Equations (2.7)–(2.10) possess integrals. For instance, the function

$$J_1 = \left\langle \boldsymbol{u}_x - \boldsymbol{u}\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}, \boldsymbol{u}_x - \boldsymbol{u}\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{u}_x^2} \right\rangle,$$

is the y–integral for equation (2.7); equation (2.10) has the following y-integral:

$$J_2 = \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2 + h^2}{\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle}, \quad \text{where} \quad h = \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle + \psi}{\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle},$$

and ψ is presented in (2.10), and so on. It is remarkable that isotropic equation (2.7) has the anisotropic integral.

Equations (2.5) and (2.6) have no integrals.

2.3. **Bäcklund transformations.** The first order auto-Bäcklund transformation (BT) for the hyperbolic equation (1.5) is a pair of the ordinary differential equations of the form

$$\boldsymbol{u}_x = f_1\boldsymbol{u} + f_2\boldsymbol{v} + f_3\boldsymbol{v}_x, \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_y = g_1\boldsymbol{u} + g_2\boldsymbol{v} + g_3\boldsymbol{v}_y, \tag{2.17}$$

compatible with equations (1.5) and

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{xy} = h_0\boldsymbol{v} + h_1\boldsymbol{v}_x + h_2\boldsymbol{v}_y$$

with the same functions h_i as in (1.5), where one must change $\boldsymbol{u}$ in $\boldsymbol{v}$. The functions f_i in (2.17) depends on various scalar products of the vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_x$, whereas g_i are functions in various scalar products of the vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_y$.

If a solution $\boldsymbol{u}(x, y)$ of equation (1.5) is given, then one can find another solution $\boldsymbol{v}(x, y)$ of the same equation by solving ODEs (2.17). Suppose that the coefficients of (2.17) depend on arbitrary "spectral" parameter λ. Then starting from a simple solution of (1.5) and applying (2.17) several times, we can construct a multi-parametric family of solutions. The so-called multi-solitonic solutions for classical integrable systems can be found in this way. By that reason the existence of BT with spectral parameter may be regarded as an indication of the complete integrability.

To justify the integrability of equations (2.5) and (2.6) we present for them Bäcklund transformations with the spectral parameter. Equation (2.5) has the following BT:

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{u}_x = f\left[(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_x)(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}) - \big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1\big)\boldsymbol{v}_x\right], \\ &\boldsymbol{u}_y = \left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_y)\big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+\lambda+1\big)}{\big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1\big)(\lambda+1)} + g\,(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})\right)\boldsymbol{u} + \left(\frac{\lambda(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_y)}{\big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1\big)(\lambda+1)} - g\right)\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}_y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$f = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{\big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1+2\lambda\big)(\boldsymbol{v}_x^2+1)}{\boldsymbol{v}_x^2\big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1\big)}},$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sqrt{\frac{\big((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1+2\lambda\big)(1-\boldsymbol{v}_y^2)}{(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})+1}}.$$

The Bäcklund transformation for equation (2.6) has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{u}_x &= \frac{q+fh}{\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle}\left[\frac{(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}_x)}{(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})+1}(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{v}_x\right], \\ \boldsymbol{u}_y &= (\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}_y)\boldsymbol{u}+g\big((\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}\big)-\boldsymbol{v}_y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &q=\lambda\big((\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})+1\big)-\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle, \quad f=\sqrt{1+\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle|\boldsymbol{v}_x|^{-2}}\,, \quad h=\sqrt{q^2-\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle}, \\ &g=\frac{h\varphi+\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}_y\rangle\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle-\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}_y\rangle\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle}{\lambda\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle\big((\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})+1\big)}-\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}_y\rangle}{\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle}, \quad \varphi=\sqrt{\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}_y\rangle^2+\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle(1-\langle \boldsymbol{v}_y,\boldsymbol{v}_y\rangle)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

3. Integrable equations on the cone

V. Adler has put our attention to the fact that integrable hyperbolic equations on the cone $\boldsymbol{u}^2=0$ cannot be drawn from integrable equations on the sphere $\boldsymbol{u}^2=r^2$ by the limit $r\to 0$. Indeed, applying the transformation $\boldsymbol{u}\to r^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}$ to equation (1.6), we see that this limit does not exist because of the term $(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_y)\boldsymbol{u}$ in (1.6). In this section we consider integrable evolution and hyperbolic vector equations on the cone.

The constraint $\boldsymbol{u}^2=0$ implies $(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x)=0$, $(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})=-(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_x)$, $(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_{xxx})=-3(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})$ and so on. It follows from these relations that any third order vector evolution equation on the cone has the following form

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t=\boldsymbol{u}_{xxx}-3\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}+f_1\boldsymbol{u}_x+f_0\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{3.1}$$

where f_0 and f_1 are scalar-valued functions depending on three isotropic variables $\boldsymbol{u}_x^2$, $(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})$, $\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}^2$ and six anisotropic variables $\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle$, $\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle$, $\ldots$, $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{xx},\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}\rangle$.

The class of equation (3.1) admits the following point transformations.

1. The scaling transformations:

$$x'=a\,x, \qquad t'=a^3\,t; \tag{3.2}$$

2. The Galilean transformation:

$$x\to x+c\,t, \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_t\to\boldsymbol{u}_t-c\,\boldsymbol{u}_x; \tag{3.3}$$

3. The linear transformations of the scalar products:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle\to a\langle \boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle+b(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}), \qquad (\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})\to c\,(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}), \tag{3.4}$$

where a or b may be zero, $c\neq 0$;

4. The transformations of the form:

$$\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{v}f(\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle), \tag{3.5}$$

where f is an arbitrary function.

5. If equation (3.1) is homogeneous: $f_k(\lambda\,\boldsymbol{u},\,\lambda\,\boldsymbol{u}_x,\,\lambda\,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}) = f_k(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}),\ \forall\lambda,\ k=0,1,$ then it admits the transformation

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{v}\exp(a\,x + b\,t). \tag{3.6}$$

If two equations of the form (3.1) are related by some of transformations (3.2)–(3.6) then these equations are said to be equivalent.

There exist so called *triangular* equations on the cone.

Example 1. The following equation

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} - 3\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_x + f_0\,\boldsymbol{u} \tag{3.7}$$

on the cone, where the f_0 is arbitrary, can be decomposed into the same equation with $f_0 = 0$ for a vector variable $\boldsymbol{w}$ and the additional equation

$$r_t = r_{xxx} - 3r_{xx}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{w}_x,\boldsymbol{w}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{w}_x^2} + 2\frac{r_x}{r}\right) + \frac{3}{2}r_x\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{w}_{xx}^2}{\boldsymbol{w}_x^2} + 4\frac{(\boldsymbol{w}_x,\boldsymbol{w}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{w}_x^2}\frac{r_x}{r} + 4\frac{r_x^2}{r^2}\right) + r\,f_0$$

for a scalar variable r. Here $\boldsymbol{u} = r\,(\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{c})$, where $\boldsymbol{w}^2 = 1$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ is a constant vector such that $\boldsymbol{c}^2 = -1$ and $(\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{c}) = 0$. The equation for $\boldsymbol{w}$ is integrable on the sphere [12] but the whole system is not integrable for the generic function f_0 in the equation for r. Equation (3.7) has infinitely many conservation laws that can be expessed in terms of $\boldsymbol{w}$ and its derivatives. While the equation with the generic function f_0 has no higher symmetries.

Proposition 2. If a non-triangular equation (3.1) possesses an infinite series of symmetries, then it is equivalent to one of the following equations:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} - 3\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^4(1+a\,\boldsymbol{u}_x^2)}\right)\boldsymbol{u}_x + b\sqrt{1+a\,\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\,\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} - 3\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + 3\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2} + 3\,b\,\boldsymbol{u}_x^4\right)\boldsymbol{u}_x - 3\,b\,\boldsymbol{u}_x^2(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} - 3\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^4} + \frac{\langle\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\right)\boldsymbol{u}_x, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{u}_t = \boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} - 3\frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\boldsymbol{u}_{xx} + {}&\\ +\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx})^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^4} - \frac{\big((\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}) + \langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle\big)^2}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2(\boldsymbol{u}_x^2 + \langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle + c)} + \frac{\langle\boldsymbol{u}_x,\boldsymbol{u}_x\rangle}{\boldsymbol{u}_x^2}\right)\boldsymbol{u}_x,&\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where a, b and c are parameters.

Remark 2. Equations (3.8) with $b = 0$, (3.9) with $b = 0$, (3.10) and (3.11) are also integrable on the sphere.

Proposition 3. If a hyperbolic equation of the form (1.5) on the cone has x- and y-symmetries from the list of Proposition 2, then it is equivalent to one of the following equations:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \boldsymbol{u}_x^2 \boldsymbol{u}_y, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \boldsymbol{u}_x^2 \sqrt{a + |\boldsymbol{u}_y|^{-2}}\, \boldsymbol{u}_y, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{xy} = \boldsymbol{u}_y^2 \boldsymbol{u}_x + \boldsymbol{u}_x^2 \boldsymbol{u}_y + \boldsymbol{u}_x^2 \boldsymbol{u}_y^2 \boldsymbol{u}, \tag{3.14}$$

where a is a constant.

All equations (3.12)–(3.14) have integrals. For example, equation (3.14) has the following vector integrals:

$$\frac{d}{dy} \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_x - \boldsymbol{u}_x^2 \boldsymbol{u}}{|\boldsymbol{u}_x|} = 0, \qquad \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_y - \boldsymbol{u}_y^2 \boldsymbol{u}}{|\boldsymbol{u}_y|} = 0.$$

Integrating these equations we reduce (3.14) to a pair of the ordinary equations:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_x = \boldsymbol{u}_x^2 \boldsymbol{u} + |\boldsymbol{u}_x| \boldsymbol{v}(x), \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_y = \boldsymbol{u}_y^2 \boldsymbol{u} + |\boldsymbol{u}_y| \boldsymbol{w}(y), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ are arbitrary vector-functions such that $\boldsymbol{v}^2 = 1$, $\boldsymbol{w}^2 = 1$.

Equations (3.12) and (3.13) have the following y-integral $I = \boldsymbol{u}_x^2$. This allows us to find the general solutions of the equations in quadratures.

References

[1] Sokolov, V.V., and Shabat, A.B.: Classification of integrable evolution equations, Soviet Scientific Reviews. Section C. **4** 221–280 (1984)

[2] Mikhailov, A. V., Shabat, A. B., and Yamilov R. I.: The symmetry approach to the classification of non-linear equations. Complete lists of integrable systems, Russian Math. Surveys **42**(4) 1–63 (1987)

[3] Mikhailov, A. V., Sokolov, V.V., and Shabat, A.B.: The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations. In: Zakharov V.E. (ed), What is Integrability? pp.115–184. Springer series in Nonlinear Dynamics (1991)

[4] Mikhailov, A. V., and Sokolov, V. V.: Symmetries of differential equations and the problem of integrability.In: Mikhailov A.V. (ed), Integrability. Lecture Notes in Physics, **767** 19–88, Springer (2009)

[5] Svinolupov, S. I., and Sokolov, V. V.: Evolution equations with nontrivial conservation laws, Functional Anal. and Appl. **16**(4) 86–87 (1982) (in Russian)

[6] Svinolupov, S.I., and Sokolov, V.V.: On conservation laws for equations having a non-trivial Lie-Bäcklund algebra. In: Shabat A.B. (ed), Integrable systems pp. 53–67. BFAN SSSR, Ufa (1982) (in Russian)

[7] Meshkov, A.G., and Sokolov, V.V.: Integrable evolution equations with the constant separant, Ufa Mathematical Journal. **4**(3) 104–154 (2012) (in Russian).

[8] Zhiber, A. V., and Shabat, A. B.: Klein-Gordon equations with a nontrivial group, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **247**(5) 1103–1107 (1979)

[9] Zhiber, A. V., and Shabat, A. B.: Systems of equations $u_x = p(u, v)$, $v_y = q(u, v)$ possessing symmetries, Sov. Math. Dokl. **30** 23–26 (1984)

[10] Zhiber, A. V., and Sokolov, V. V.: Exactly integrable hyperbolic equations of Liouville type, Russian Math. Surveys. **56**(1) 63–106 (2001)

[11] Meshkov, A.G., and Sokolov, V.V.: Hyperbolic equations with third-order symmetries, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. **166**(1) 43–57 (2011)

[12] Meshkov, A. G., and Sokolov, V. V.: Integrable evolution equations on the N-dimensional sphere, Comm. Math. Phys. **232** 1–18 (2002)

[13] Balakhnev, M. Ju., and Meshkov, A. G.: Integrable anisotropic evolution equations on a sphere, SIGMA **1** (2005), see http://www.imath.kiev.ua/~sigma/

[14] Golubchik, I. Z., and Sokolov, V. V.: Multicomponent generalization of the hierarchy of the Landau-Lifshitz equation, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **124**(1) 909–971 (2000)

[15] Meshkov, A. G., and Sokolov, V. V.: Classification of integrable divergent N-component evolution systems, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **139**(2) 609–622 (2004)

[16] Balakhnev, M. Ju.: A class of integrable evolutionary vector equations, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **142**(1) 8–14 (2005)

[17] Balakhnev, M.Ju., and Meshkov, A.G.: On a classification of integrable vectorial evolutionary equations, J. Nonlin. Math. Phys. **15**(2) 212–226 (2008)

[18] Sokolov, V. V., and Svinolupov, S. I.: Vector-matrix generalizations of classical integrable equations, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **100**(2) 959–962 (1994)

[19] Ibragimov, N. Kh., and Shabat, A. B.: Infinite Lie-Bäcklund algebras, Functional Anal. and Appl. **14**(4) 79–80 (1980) (in Russian)

[20] Meshkov, A.G.: Tools for symmetry analysis of PDEs, Differential equations and control processes. Electronic journal **1** (2002), see http://www.math.spbu.ru/diffjournal.

[21] Borisov, A.B., and Zykov, S.A.: The dressing chain of discrete symmetries and proliferation of nonlinear equations, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **115**(2) 530–541 (1998)

[22] Zakharov, V.E., Manakov,S.V., Novikov, C.P. and Pitaevsky, L.P.: Theory of soltons: Inverse problem method. Nauka, Moscow (1980)